number sample difference

Hilbert, Timothy (hilbertj) to: Benson.Bob

12/29/2011 09:49 AM

From: "Hilbert, Timothy (hilbertj)" <HILBERTJ@UCMAIL.UC.EDU>
To:

Bob, I wanted to draw your attention to one point in our submitted paper that differs from our previous "decision points" document.

Previously we said....a total of 914 IH fiber measurements were available for this analysis. Of this total, only 180 (19.6%) of the IH fiber measurements were available from the UC archived records.

But now we are saying....The 914 fiber measurement results included 589 results obtained from the EPA and DOJ documents to supplement the 325 used for the original exposure estimation, providing nearly three times the number of samples compared to previous reports. It's not a big deal but we wanted you to be aware of it. The reason for the difference is that some

It's not a big deal but we wanted you to be aware of it. The reason for the difference is that some measurements were available from both UC records and EPA/DOJ sources and in the original document we didn't account for this duplication of sources (i.e. we credited all the duplicates as belonging to EPA/DOJ sources rather than UC).

Let me know of any questions.

Happy New Year Tim Hilbert UC